

Brown-HET-1034

March 1996

COSMOLOGIES WITH PHOTON CREATION AND THE 3K RELIC RADIATION SPECTRUM

J. A. S. Lima^{1,2}

¹ Departamento de Física Teórica e Experimental, Universidade
Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, 59072 - 970, Natal, RN, Brazil

² Physics Department, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA

(submitted to *Gravity Research Foundation Essay Competition*)

SUMMARY

A new Planckian distribution for cosmologies with photon creation is derived using thermodynamics and semiclassical considerations. This spectrum is preserved during the evolution of the universe and compatible with the present spectral shape of the cosmic microwave background radiation (CMBR). Accordingly, the widely spread feeling that cosmologies with continuous photon creation are definitely ruled out by the COBE limits on deviation of the CMBR spectrum from blackbody shape should be reconsidered. It is argued that a crucial test for this kind of cosmologies is provided by measurements of the CMBR temperature at high redshifts. For a given redshift z greater than zero, the temperature is smaller than the one predicted by the standard FRW model.

The problem investigated here may be stated in a very broad and simple way: If photons are continuously created during the evolution of the universe, under which conditions may this process be compatible with the present blackbody nature of the cosmic microwave background radiation(CMBR)? This question was discussed long ago by Hönl and Dehen[1] to rule out the gravitational theory of Jordan¹ and, in a more general framework, by Steigman[3]. In the latter paper was concluded that any photon nonconserving cosmology is in conflict with the observed spectral shape of the CMBR. As a consequence, the interest in cosmologies based on continuous photon creation, like Dirac cosmologies, G-variable models of Canuto and coworkers, matter creation cosmologies of Hoyle and Narlikar and others[4] perceptively declined in the literature.

The argument of Steigman may briefly be restated as follows: Consider an arbitrary spectrum of photons whose number and energy densities are, respectively, $n_r \sim T^3$ and $\rho_r \sim T^4$ and let $N_r(t)$, the instantaneous comoving number of photons. Since $N_r = n_r R^3$, where $R(t)$ is the scale factor of a FRW cosmology, it follows that

$$N_r(t)^{-\frac{1}{3}} T R = \text{const} \quad . \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, photons in FRW geometries redshift away obeying $\nu \sim R^{-1}$ so that (1) may be rewritten as

$$[N_r(t)]^{-\frac{1}{3}} \frac{T}{\nu} = \text{const} \quad . \quad (2)$$

Therefore, the ratio $\frac{T}{\nu}$ will be an invariant in the course of the expansion, thereby preserving Planck's distribution, only if $N_r(t) = \text{const}$. In Steigman's

¹The criticism of Hönl and Dehen was subsequently accepted by P. Jordan[2].

words: “*Unless the number of photons in a comoving volume is conserved, a blackbody distribution is destroyed as the universe evolves*”. Naturally, the same criticism also holds for modern theories with photon production like decaying vacuum cosmologies[5] or irreversible matter creation at the expenses of the gravitational field[6, 7].

In the post-COBE(and Hubble Space Telescope) era, the importance of the question posed in the first paragraph is more easily recognized than in the seventies. As discussed very recently, the latest measurements of the Hubble parameter[8] points to an intrinsic fragility of the standard (photon conserving) FRW cosmology, in such a way that models without cosmological constant seems to be effectively ruled out[9]. The dilemma is quite obvious. The increasing difficulties of the standard model strongly suggest that it will have given way to alternative Big Bang cosmologies. However, the finely adjusted blackbody nature of the CMBR (from COBE measurements) coupled with the criticism of Steigman, works like a Damocles sword pending on the foundations of any cosmology endowed with continuous photon creation.

In this Essay, I will reanalyse this question working in an extended framework. First, a formula for blackbody radiation when photon creation takes place “adiabatically” will be derived. This terminology will be further justified though, for a moment, it will be employed only to label the hypotheses assumed by Steigman, namely that some equilibrium relations are preserved during the creation process. Second, a crucial test for photon creation cosmologies will also be suggested. As we shall see, the new spectrum is both preserved under expansion of the universe and consistent with the present spectral shape of the CMBR radiation.

The “Adiabatic” Blackbody Spectrum

If one compresses or expands a hollow cavity containing blackbody radiation, in such a way that photons (due to some unspecified creation process) are “adiabatically” added in it, then for each wave component one may write

$$N_r(t)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\lambda T = \text{const.} \quad . \quad (3)$$

This quantity plays the role of a generalized “adiabatic” invariant in the sense of Ehrenfest[10]. When $N_r(t)$ is constant, the usual adiabatic invariant for an expanding blackbody radiation is recovered.

Now, let T_1 be the temperature at the instant $t = t_1$, and focus our attention on the band $\Delta\lambda_1$ (centered on λ_1) whose energy density is $\rho_{T_1}(\lambda_1)\Delta\lambda_1$. At a subsequent time $t = t_2$, when T_1 changed to T_2 , the energy of the band changed to $\rho_{T_2}(\lambda_2)\Delta\lambda_2$ and, according to (3), $\Delta\lambda_1$ and $\Delta\lambda_2$ are related by

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda_2}{\Delta\lambda_1} = \left(\frac{N_r(t_2)}{N_r(t_1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{T_1}{T_2} \quad , \quad (4)$$

where $N_r(t_1)$, $N_r(t_2)$ are, respectively, the net number of photons at times t_1 and t_2 . Like Steigman, let us now assume that some thermodynamic equilibrium relations are preserved (“adiabatic” photon creation). In this case, since distinct bands do not interact

$$\frac{\rho_{T_2}(\lambda_2)\Delta\lambda_2}{\rho_{T_1}(\lambda_1)\Delta\lambda_1} = \left(\frac{T_2}{T_1}\right)^4 \quad . \quad (5)$$

By combining (5) with (3) and using (4), we obtain for an arbitrary component $\rho_T(\lambda)\lambda^5 = \text{const}N_r(t)^{\frac{4}{3}}$. In the Planckian case ($N_r(t) = \text{const}$), this expression reduces to $\rho_T(\lambda)\lambda^5 = \text{const}$, as it should be. Without loss of

generality, taking into account (3), this result may be rewritten as (we have normalized $N_r(t)$ by its value N_{or} without photon creation)

$$\rho_T(\lambda) = \left(\frac{N_r(t)}{N_{or}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \lambda^{-5} \phi\left(\left(\frac{N_r(t)}{N_{or}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \lambda T\right) \quad , \quad (6)$$

where ϕ is an arbitrary function of its argument. In terms of frequency, since $\rho_T(\nu)d\nu = \rho_T(\lambda) \left| \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} \right| d\lambda$, it follows that

$$\rho_T(\nu) = \alpha \left(\frac{N_r(t)}{N_{or}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \nu^3 \phi\left(\left(\frac{N_r(t)}{N_{or}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \frac{T}{\nu}\right) \quad , \quad (7)$$

where α is a dimensional constant. The above equation is the generalized form of Wien's law. It reduces to the standard Wien law when the number of photons is conserved[11].

Next, following the arguments originally used by Einstein[12], the complete distribution it will be derived. Consider now an atomic or molecular gas, the particles of which can exist in a number of discrete energy levels $E_n = 1, 2, \dots$ etc, in thermal equilibrium with the radiation at temperature T . The probability that an atom is in the energy level E_n is given by the Boltzmann factor, $p_n e^{-\frac{E_n}{kT}}$, where p_n is the statistical weight of the n th quantum state. In such a system there exist three kinds of transition processes, namely: absorption, spontaneous and stimulated emission; which are characterized, respectively, by the coefficient B_n^m , A_m^n and B_m^n . From equilibrium condition[12]

$$p_n e^{-E_n/kT} B_n^m \rho_T(\nu) = p_m e^{-E_m/kT} (B_m^n \rho_T(\nu) + A_m^n) \quad , \quad (8)$$

and solving for the energy density

$$\rho_T(\nu) = \frac{\frac{p_m}{p_n} \frac{A_m^n}{B_n^m}}{e^{\frac{E_m - E_n}{kT}} - \frac{p_m}{p_n} \frac{B_m^n}{B_n^m}} \quad . \quad (9)$$

By assuming (like in Einstein's derivation), that at very high temperatures the stimulated emission is much more probable than spontaneous emission, (8) yields

$$p_n B_n^m = p_m B_m^n \quad . \quad (10)$$

Now, comparing (9) with the generalized Wien law (7), we obtain

$$\frac{A_m^n}{B_m^n} = \alpha \left(\frac{N_r(t)}{N_{or}} \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \nu^3 \quad , \quad (11)$$

and

$$E_m - E_n = \left(\frac{N_r(t)}{N_{or}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} h\nu \quad . \quad (12)$$

Note that the time-dependent prefactors in the above relations appear as a consequence of the detailed balance between matter and radiation, that is, regardless of the specific gravitational theory for “adiabatic” photon creation.² Finally, inserting relations (10)-(12) into (9) and fixing α taking the usual classical limit, we obtain

$$\rho_T(\nu) = \left(\frac{N_r(t)}{N_{or}} \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \frac{8\pi h}{c^3} \frac{\nu^3}{\exp\left[\left(\frac{N_r(t)}{N_{or}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{h\nu}{kT}\right] - 1} \quad . \quad (13)$$

In the absence of creation ($N_r(t) = N_{or}$), the standard Planckian spectrum is recovered. In addition, since the the exponential factor is time independent,

²Naturally, we are not advocating here that the fundamental constant h is a time dependent quantity.

this spectrum is not destroyed as the universe evolves. More important still, (13) cannot, on experimental grounds, be distinguished from the blackbody spectrum at the present epoch when $T = T_o$ and $N_r(t_o) = N_{or}$. Therefore, *models with photon creation can be compatible with the present day isotropy and spectral distribution of the CMBR*. This conclusion is extremely general. It does not depend either on the time dependence of the scale factor nor even on the form of $N_r(t)$, which in turn must be determined by the specific photon creation theory. It is interesting that no reference has been made to the specific source of photons. The above derivation depends only on the new temperature law, or equivalently, on the existence of the generalized “adiabatic” invariant given by (3). This fact cannot be fortuitous; it must reflect (for photon creation) the same sort of universality contained in the Planck distribution. For consistency we observe that the equilibrium relations are recovered using the above spectrum. By introducing the variable $x = (\frac{N_r}{N_{or}})^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{h\nu}{kT}$, we obtain

$$n_r(T) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho_T(\nu)d\nu}{(\frac{N_r(t)}{N_{or}})^{\frac{1}{3}} h\nu} = bT^3 \quad , \quad (14)$$

and

$$\rho_r(T) = \int_0^\infty \rho_T(\nu)d\nu = aT^4 \quad , \quad (15)$$

where $b = \frac{0.244}{h^3 c^3}$ and $a = \frac{\pi^2 k^4}{15 h^3 c^3}$, are the blackbody radiation constants[11].

To point out its intrinsically irreversible character, let us now clarify the concept of “adiabatic” photon creation in connection with the second law of thermodynamics. For a photon gas the Euler relation is

$$\sigma_r = \frac{\rho_r + p_r}{n_r T} \quad , \quad (16)$$

where σ_r is the specific radiation entropy. In the homogeneous case, $\sigma_r = S_r/N_r$, where S_r is the total photon entropy. It thus follows that

$$\dot{\sigma}_r = \frac{S_r}{N_r} \left(\frac{\dot{S}_r}{S_r} - \frac{\dot{N}_r}{N_r} \right) \quad , \quad (17)$$

where a dot stands for time derivative. Since the form of adiabatic relations are preserved, (16) implies that $\dot{\sigma}_r = 0$, and from (17)

$$\frac{\dot{S}_r}{S_r} = \frac{\dot{N}_r}{N_r} \quad . \quad (18)$$

Therefore, “adiabatic” creation means that the total entropy increases, as required by the second law of thermodynamics, however, the specific entropy(per photon) remains constant during the process. As a consequence, theories with photon creation must be constrained by the second law of thermodynamics.³ In this connection, we recall that the standard FRW model is consistent with the present CMBR spectrum but, it does not provide any explanation for the origin of the cosmological entropy. In principle, only a cosmology allowing entropy production may be able to provide a definite solution to this problem.

Conservation Versus Creation

The present Planckian spectrum of the CMBR cannot be distinguished from (13), however, this does not mean that the same holds for moderate or

³Using nonequilibrium relativistic thermodynamics one may proof that the validity of the equilibrium relations leads to $\dot{\sigma}_r = 0$ and reciprocally. For decaying vacuum cosmologies see section 4 of Ref.[14].

high redshifts. Using the scale factor-redshift relation, $R = R_o(1 + z)^{-1}$, the temperature law (1) becomes

$$T = T_o(1 + z)\left(\frac{N_r(t)}{N_{or}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad , \quad (19)$$

where T_o is the present day value of T . This relation has some interesting physical consequences. Since $N_r(t) \leq N_{or}$, it implies that universes with photon creation are, for any value of $z > 0$, cooler than the standard model. This prediction may indirectly be verified observing atomic or molecular transitions in absorbing clouds at large redshifts. In this way, it provides a crucial test for models endowed with “adiabatic” photon production, which is accessible with present day technology[14, 15]. Qualitatively, (19) also explains why models with “adiabatic” photon creation solve the cosmological age problem which plagues the class of FRW models[13]. Since for a given redshift z the universe is cooler than in the standard model, more time is required to attain a fixed temperature scale in the early universe.

In conclusion, we stress that photons injected in the spacetime with the “normal” distribution (13) cannot be responsible for the present observed distortions of the CMBR spectrum. Since this result follows naturally from the temperature law (1), cosmologies endowed with continuous photon creation are not disproved by the blackbody nature of the CMBR.

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank R. Brandenberger, A. Maia and V. Zanchin for a critical reading of the manuscript. Many thanks are also due to R. Abramo,

R. Moessner and M. Parry for the permanent encouragment. This work was partially supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - CNPq (Brazilian Research Agency), and by the US Department of Energy under grant DE-F602-91ER40688, Task A.

References

- [1] H. Hönl and H. Dehen, *Zs. f. Ap.* **68**, 181 (1968).
- [2] P. Jordan, *Zs. f. Ap.* **68**, 201 (1968).
- [3] G. Steigman, *Astrop. J.* **221**, 407 (1978).
- [4] P. A. M. Dirac, *Proc. Roy. Soc.* **A338**, 439 (1974); V. Canuto and S.H. Hsieh and P. Adams *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37**, 429 (1977); F. Hoyle and J. Narlikar *MNRAS* **155**, 323 (1972).
- [5] M. Ozer and M. O. Taha, *Nucl. Phys.* **B287**, 776, (1987); K. Freese, F.C. Adams, J.A. Frieman and E. Mottola, *Nucl. Phys.* **B287**, 797 (1987); J.A.S. Lima and J. M. F. Maia, *Phys. Rev.* **D49**, 5597 (1994); J. A. S. Lima and M. Trodden *Phys. Rev.* **D53**, 4280 (1996).
- [6] I. Prigogine, J. Geheniau, E. Gunzig, P. Nardone, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **21**, 767 (1989).
- [7] M. O. Calvão, J. A. S. Lima, I. Waga, *Phys. Lett.* **A162**, 223 (1992).
- [8] M. J. Pierce, D. L. Welch, R. D. McClure, S. van den Bergh, R. Racine, P. B. Stetson, *Nature* **371**, 29 (1994); W. L. Freedman et al., *Nature* **371**, 27 (1994).

- [9] L. W. Krauss and M. Turner, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **27**, 1137 (1995); J. Maddox, *Nature* **377**, 99 (1995); J. S. Bagla, T. Padmanabhan and J. V. Narlikar, “Crisis in Cosmology : Observational Constraints on Ω and H_o ” Astro-ph/9511102, (1995).
- [10] P. Ehrenfest, *Phil. Mag.* **33**, 500 (1917).
- [11] M. Planck, *The Theory of Heat Radiation*, Dover Publications (1991).
- [12] A. Einstein, *Phys. Z.***18**, 121 (1917). See also the English translation in G. Holton, *Sources of Quantum Mechanics*, Dover Publications (1967).
- [13] J. A. S. Lima, A. S. M. Germano and L. R. Abramo, *Phys. Rev.* **D53**, 4287 (1996).
- [14] J. A. S. Lima, “*Thermodynamics of Decaying Vacuum Cosmologies*” *Phys. Rev.* **D**, (1996). In press.
- [15] A. Songaila et al., *Nature* **371**, 43 (1994).